IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Examining Operations

Applicant(s): Cook, et al

Serial No: 10/043.471

Filed: January 11, 2002

Title: Use of High Density Microparticles for Removal of Pathogens

Customer No.: 27162

Attorney

Docket No.: 61750-378

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

Enclosed please find the following:

- 1. Response to Restriction Requirement with Traverse of Same; and
- 2. A self-addressed, postage paid, return receipt postcard, date stamp and return of which is respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge payment of any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit **Account No. 03-0678**.

FIRST CLASS CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that this paper and the attachments hereto are being deposited today with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail to addressee, under 37 CFR 1.8, on the date indicated above address:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 223

Raymond E. Stauffer, Esq.

Date

Respectfully submitted

Raymond E. Stauffer, Esq.

Reg. No. 47,109

CARELLA, BYRNE, BAIN, GILFILLAN, CECCHI, STEWART & OLSTEIN

Six Becker Farm Road

Roseland, New Jersey 07068

T: (973) 994-1700

F: (973) 994-1744

#189250 v1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Applicant

: Cook et al.

Serial No.

10/043,471

Filed

January 11, 2002

Title:

USE OF HIGH DENSITY MICROPARTICLES

FOR REMOVAL OF PATHOGENS

Examiner

David A. Reifsnyder

Art Unit

1723

Attorney Docket No.:

61750-378

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 July 25, 2003

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT, WITH TRAVERSE OF SAME

Dear Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed June 25, 2003, Applicants elect the claims of Group I, that is claims 1-28 drawn to methods of removing target pathogens from a biological fluid, with the following remarks to be considered in traverse.

Serial No: 10 043,471 Filed: January 11, 2002

Deposit Account No.: 03-0678.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Deposit Date: July 25, 2003

I hereby certify that this paper and the attachments hereto are being deposited today with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail to Addressee, under 37 CFR 1.8, on the date indicated above addressed to:

Commission of Patents/

P.O. Box 1,50

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Palmand E Stauffan Esa

Raymond E. Stauffer, Esq.

#188649 v1 - response to restriction requirement

Date

Respectfully submitted

Raymond E. Stauffer, Esq.

Reg. No. 47,109

CARELLA, BYRNE, BAIN.

GILFILLAN,

CECCHI, STEWART & OLSTEIN

6 Becker Farm Road

Roseland, NJ 07068

Tel. No.: (973) 994-1700

Fax No.: (973) 994-1744

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the Restriction Requirement is requested in view of the fact

that the method and product claims are related inventions. Group I and Group II are

related in that the products of Group II have particular application to the processes of

Group I.

Moreover, Applicants' Attorney has been unable to uncover any reference

suggesting that the product comprising high density microparticles coated with a

poly(glutamic acid, lysine, tyrosine) tri-amino acid polymer in a 6:3:1 ratio, as so

claimed. "can be used in a materially different process," [MPEP 806.05(h)], than that

claimed in Group I. Specifically, Applicants' Attorney can find no reference comporting

with the Examiner's suggestion that the product, as claimed (Group II), can be "used as

ion exchange resins for the purification of solutions, in particular aqueous solutions, in

order to remove pollutant ions, such as heavy metals and radio-nuclides therefrom."

Significantly, Sigma-Aldrich the maker of the tri-amino acid polymer component of these

claims (Product P8854)(see page 21 of the specification), offers no insight as to the

polymer component's major industrial use (See www.sigma-aldrich.com). That the

combination of that polymer component with a high density microparticle component can

be used for the *materially different process* asserted by the Examiner, seems specious at

best.

It is believed that no fee is due, however, if any fee is due it should be charged to

2